Transmission spectroscopy of CF4 molecules in intense x-ray fields

Rui Ji[n](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7946-3686) Φ Φ ,^{1,*} Ad[a](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0969-9976)[m](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0386-9118) Fouda[,](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0035-1412)<[s](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4967-903X)up>2,3</sup> Alexander Magunia Φ ,¹ Yeonsig Nam Φ ,² Marc Rebholz Φ ,¹ Alberto De Fanis Φ ,⁴ Kai Li Φ ,² Gilles D[o](https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6804-9781)umy \bullet [,](https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5202-5267) 2 Thomas M. Baumann \bullet , 4 Michael Straub \bullet , 1 Sergey Usenko \bullet , 4 Yevheniy Ovcharenko \bullet , 4

Tommaso Mazza **I**[,](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3105-459X)⁴ Jacobo Montaño,⁴ Ma[r](https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6501-5324)cus Agåker **I**,^{5,6} Maria Novella Pia[n](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2525-5435)castelli **I**,⁷ Marc Simon **I**,⁷

Jan-Erik Rubensson [®][,](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4110-0916)⁵ Michael Meyer ®,⁴ Linda Youn[g](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2251-039X) ®,^{2,3,†} Christian Ott ®,^{1,‡} and Thomas Pfeifer^{1,§}

¹*[Max-Planck-Institut für Kernphysik,](https://ror.org/052d0h423) Saupfercheckweg 1, 69117 Heidelberg, Germany*

²*Chemical Sciences and Engineering Division, [Argonne National Laboratory,](https://ror.org/05gvnxz63) Lemont, Illinois 60439, USA*

³*Department of Physics, [University of Chicago,](https://ror.org/024mw5h28) Chicago, Illinois 60637, USA*

⁴*[European XFEL,](https://ror.org/01wp2jz98) Holzkoppel 4, 22869 Schenefeld, Germany*

⁵*Department of Physics and Astronomy, [Uppsala University,](https://ror.org/048a87296) P.O. Box 516, SE-751 20 Uppsala, Sweden*

⁶*MAX IV Laboratory, [Lund University,](https://ror.org/012a77v79) P.O. Box 118, SE-22100 Lund, Sweden*

⁷*[Sorbonne Université,](https://ror.org/02en5vm52) CNRS, UMR 7614, Laboratoire de Chimie Physique-Matière et Rayonnement, F-75005 Paris, France*

(Received 5 July 2024; revised 6 December 2024; accepted 6 December 2024; published 16 January 2025)

The nonlinear interaction of x rays with matter is at the heart of understanding and controlling ultrafast molecular dynamics from an atom-specific viewpoint, providing new scientific and analytical opportunities to explore the structure and dynamics of small quantum systems. At increasingly high x-ray intensity, the sensitivity of ultrashort x-ray pulses to specific electronic states and emerging short-lived transient intermediates is of particular relevance for our understanding of fundamental multiphoton absorption processes. In this work, intense x-ray free-electron laser (XFEL) pulses at the European XFEL are combined with a gas cell and grating spectrometer for a high-intensity transmission spectroscopy study of multiphoton-induced ultrafast molecular fragmentation dynamics in CF4. This approach unlocks the direct intrapulse observation of transient fragments, including neutral atoms, by their characteristic absorption lines in the transmitted broadband x-ray spectrum. The dynamics with and without initially producing fluorine *K*-shell holes are studied by tuning the central photon energy. The absorption spectra are measured at different FEL intensities to observe nonlinear effects. Transient isolated fluorine atoms and ions are spectroscopically recorded within the ultrashort pulse duration of a few tens of femtoseconds. An isosbestic point that signifies the correlated transition between intact neutral $CF₄$ molecules and charged atomic fragments is observed near the fluorine *K* edge. The dissociation dynamics and the multiphoton absorption-induced dynamics encoded in the spectra are theoretically interpreted. Overall, this study demonstrates the potential of high-intensity x-ray transmission spectroscopy to study ultrafast molecular dynamics with sensitivity to specific intermediate species and their electronic structure.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevA.111.012808](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.111.012808)

I. INTRODUCTION

The interactions of x rays with matter can create short-lived electronic core-hole states, triggering subsequent ultrafast molecular dynamics $[1-7]$ $[1-7]$. The study of such processes is therefore of fundamental importance for diverse research fields such as material science [\[8\]](#page-11-0), photochemistry [\[9–11\]](#page-11-0), biosciences $[12,13]$, and environmental science $[14]$. While

the linear (low-intensity) absorption and transmission of x rays in matter is well understood and thus routinely used for scientific applications, e.g., at synchrotron light sources, it is desirable to extend our understanding of x-ray transmission in the presence of nonlinear x-ray light-matter interactions. Thus we ask the basic question of how these interactions manifest in the x-ray transmission spectrum at increasing intensity.

The advent of x-ray free-electron lasers (XFEL) [\[15–19\]](#page-11-0) enabled the nonlinear interaction of more than one x-ray photon with specific atomic sites and their electronic structure [\[2,](#page-10-0)[4,5,20,21\]](#page-11-0), opening a new route for site-selective x-ray spectroscopy and control of the molecular dynamics [\[7,22,23\]](#page-11-0). This is achieved by delivering brilliant x-ray pulses of up to several millijoules focused onto a micrometer-scale focus spot within an ultrashort pulse duration on the order of tens of femtoseconds [\[15,24,25\]](#page-11-0), and even reaching down to the attosecond regime [\[26](#page-11-0)[–29\]](#page-12-0).

A powerful tool to extract nonlinear dynamical information from transmission spectra is the transient absorption spectroscopy (TAS) approach, which has recently been realized

^{*}Contact author: rui.jin@mpi-hd.mpg.de

[†]Contact author: young@anl.gov

[‡]Contact author: christian.ott@mpi-hd.mpg.de

[§]Contact author: thomas.pfeifer@mpi-hd.mpg.de

Published by the American Physical Society under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) license. Further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the published article's title, journal citation, and DOI. Open access publication funded by Max Planck Society.

with attosecond pump and probe pulses in the x-ray regime [\[28\]](#page-12-0). Generally, in TAS a broadband pulsed light source is employed to probe the dynamics induced by pump pulses at various time delays and spectroscopic information is extracted by resolving the transmitted probe spectra with grating spectrometers. Conventionally, the probe pulse is weak, therefore, its interaction with the system within TAS is limited to the linear response regime, i.e., measuring the linear absorption spectrum at various delays after a pump pulse, which is typically understood as pump-probe transient absorption spectroscopy. The absorption spectra however contain additional information when entering the nonlinear response regime of the transmitted pulse, going beyond the pump-probe spectroscopy interpretation. This has most recently been realized in the XUV regime by using weak HHG-based sources with strong NIR dressing of the coherent dipole response [\[30–36\]](#page-12-0) and direct dressing with intense XUV pulses from FEL-based sources [\[37–39\]](#page-12-0). These experiments have revealed for instance modifications of spectral line shapes, which unlock new quantum dynamical information that can be extracted from the absorption imprint of the measured XUV transmission spectra when using intense and ultrashort pulses. Realizing this approach in the x-ray regime allows for the comprehensive examination of the total absorption of transient states with atomic site and state selectivity, including neutral species, simultaneously across a broad range of photon energies and within the ultrashort pulse duration. Hence the high-intensity x-ray transmission spectroscopy technique developed here can provide a new insight into the ultrafast nonlinear x-ray light-matter interactions even by using just a single pulse and without the need for scanning a time delay.

The CF_4 molecule on which we focus below is extensively studied not only because it is a prototypical system for the understanding of ultrafast molecular dynamics [\[40–](#page-12-0)[55\]](#page-13-0), but also due to its importance in environmental processes, such as the modeling of ozone depletion and climate change [\[14\]](#page-11-0). However, to the best of our knowledge, state-resolved fragmentation dynamics of the CF_4 molecule nonlinearly driven by intense x-ray fields have not yet been studied. In this work, the high-intensity transmission spectroscopy approach is employed to investigate this unexplored area of nonlinear x-ray interaction with a molecular system.

The photon energy of the XFEL short pulses is tuned across the fluorine *K* edge to selectively initiate dynamics with and without creating fluorine *K* holes after absorbing the first photon at the leading edge of the pulse. Within high-intensity transmission spectroscopy, the FEL intensity is varied, where we expect the population evolution of different fragments to be influenced by multiphoton absorption. The spectroscopic fingerprint of these transient fragment states that emerge within the pulse duration is observed via a grating spectrometer. In the case where the photon energy lies well below the fluorine *K* edge (from around 675 to 680 eV), both the valence and carbon $1s$ shell of the CF_4 molecule can be ionized, initially forming CF_4^+ and $C^*F_4^+$ with comparable branching ratio. The cross sections for ionizing carbon 1*s* and the valence orbitals of CF_4 at photon energy 680 eV are 0.12 and 0.117 Mb, respectively, approximated by summing the atomic cross section taken from [\[56\]](#page-13-0). Since the lifetime of the $C^*F_4^+$ is only about 8.5 fs [\[57\]](#page-13-0), it will quickly ionize and

produce CF_4^2 ions via the Auger-Meitner decay process. We denote this channel as "Auger before dissociation" as opposed to another observed process, namely the "dissociation before Auger," where the $C^*F_4^+$ can dissociate and produce neutral fluorine atoms before Auger-Meitner decay [\[52\]](#page-12-0). For the photon energy across the fluorine *K* edge, short-lived molecular species CF_4^* and CF_4^{*+} with a fluorine 1s hole can be respectively created by resonant excitation or photoionization. With a lifetime of around 3 fs [\[50,](#page-12-0)[58\]](#page-13-0), these molecules will quickly undergo Auger-Meitner decay into predominantly CF_4^+ and CF_4^{2+} . Both molecular ions are unstable and will thus undergo ultrafast fragmentation processes that produce atomic species [\[44,48,49,51\]](#page-12-0). It is these intermediate atomic fragments that are the central focus of our study presented here.

The intermediate molecular and atomic fragments of the above initial channels can be observed in the transmitted x-ray absorption spectra across the entire XFEL spectral bandwidth. To assign the resonant peaks in the spectrum, the electronic structures of relevant intermediate fragments are calculated based on the multiconfiguration self-consistent field (MCSCF) method. A semiclassical molecular dynamics (MD) simulation is conducted to rationalize the emergence of atomic fragments within the short pulse duration. In addition, the sequential multiphoton-absorption-induced dynamics are studied using a simple rate equation model. The result of these theoretical calculations allows one to further interpret the measured transmission spectra at high x-ray intensity and thus shed light on the nonlinear physical mechanisms at work in the experiment.

The paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II A we introduce the experimental setup and present measured transmission spectra for photon energies below and across the fluorine *K* edge, at various incoming XFEL pulse intensities. Precisely calculated resonances of atomic and molecular fragments are presented for the identification of nonlinear multiple ionization and dissociation processes of the CF_4 molecule interacting with intense short-pulsed x-ray light. The dissociation dynamics of the charged molecules CF_4^+ and CF_4^{2+} produced after absorbing the first x-ray photon within the ultrashort pulse is presented in Sec. \overline{I} IB. The sequential multiphoton absorption-induced dynamics during the pulse is discussed in Sec. IIC. Finally, a summary and concluding remarks are given in Sec. [III.](#page-7-0)

II. EXPERIMENTAL SETUP AND RESULTS

A. Absorption spectra

The self-amplified spontaneous emission (SASE) freeelectron laser European XFEL (EuXFEL) is employed in this experiment. The experimental scheme is shown in Fig. [1\(a\).](#page-2-0) A short x-ray pulse prepared at the Small Quantum Systems (SQS) instrument with 3 mJ pulse energy and nominal pulse duration of about 25 fs [full width at half maximum (FWHM)] is focused into the CF_4 sample in a gas cell with a 2 μ m diameter spot size using Kirkpatrick-Baez mirrors. The drift of photon energy and pulse intensity for sequential experiment runs are within 0.5%, and 5%, respectively, ensuring the reliability of the XFEL source. The detailed discussion of XFEL parameters can be found in Appendix [A.](#page-8-0) In this experiment,

FIG. 1. Experimental setup and overview of the x-ray absorption spectra and energy-level scheme for CF₄. (a) Experimental setup. (b) Demonstration of reference and transmitted average spectra of FEL pulses and the derived optical density (OD). (c) Energy diagrams for CF4. The blue and yellow arrows respectively denote the ionization of CF4 with and without creating fluorine *K* holes with photon energy centered at 694.4 and 679.6 eV, i.e., above and below the *K* edge, respectively. (d) Corresponding OD spectra (gray curve) under the two photon-energy settings. The colored shaded curves show the average spectra of the incoming FEL pulses.

the gas-cell pressure is fixed at 0.1 bar and has an inner length of 4 mm in the direction of light propagation. The FEL pulse travels through a gas attenuator before it is focused into the gas cell. This enables us to measure the photoabsorption spectra at different FEL intensities. After passage through the gas cell and the protective aluminum filter, the XFEL pulse is sent into a grating spectrometer to facilitate high-intensity x-ray transmission spectroscopy. The spectrometer resolution and natural lifetime of the F *K*-shell state together give an experimental resolution of around 0.2 eV. This allows one to separate the resonant structures for electronic states of transient fragments in the total photoabsorption spectra across the entire pulse bandwidth. The short pulse duration enables observing the intermediate species at the pulse-duration time scales of a few tens of femtoseconds:

$$
OD(E) = -\log_{10} \frac{\mathcal{I}_t(E)}{\mathcal{I}_r(E)}.
$$
 (1)

The optical density (OD) is derived employing Eq. (1), where the shot-averaged intensity spectrum for transmitted $\mathcal{I}_t(E)$ and reference $\mathcal{I}_r(E)$ beams are separately measured by propagating the x-ray beam through a filled and evacuated gas cell, respectively, as shown in Fig. 1(b). The same aluminum filter is used for the reference and transmitted beam; therefore, it has no effect on the OD. It is worthwhile to note that, in the high intensity region, Eq. (1) does not imply that the conventional single-photon absorption cross section is determined according to Beer-Lambert's law. The energy diagram of the $CF₄$ molecule is presented in (c), which serves to outline the interaction with the first x-ray photon at the beginning of the pulse. The ionization potential (IP) for the fluorine 1*s* orbital in CF_4 is about 695.5 eV [\[44\]](#page-12-0). The central photon energy is set at 679.6 and 694.4 eV to excite and probe different dynamics below and across the fluorine *K* edge, as indicated by the yellow and blue arrows, respectively, and color-shaded spectra in the diagram shown in Fig. 1(d).

The measured OD at different incoming pulse intensities are shown in Fig. [2](#page-3-0) for central photon energy $E_c = 679.6 \text{ eV}$, well below the fluorine *K* edge. Four major peaks are observed and marked as A, B, C, and D. It is apparent that peak B looks broader than the others, where this difference in width suggests that peak B might be comprised of different transitions, e.g., corresponding to molecular fragments, while peaks A, C, and D are more likely identified as atomic lines.

In order to test this hypothesis, theoretical calculations of the resonant excitation spectra for both atoms and relevant molecular fragments are carried out with high accuracy. For the atomic species, the calculation is based on a scheme combining MCSCF and relativistic configuration interaction (RCI) [\[59\]](#page-13-0) employing the GRASP code [\[60\]](#page-13-0). High calculation accuracy is achieved by a customized quasicomplete basis set and balanced consideration of correlation effects. As shown in Appendix \overline{B} , the transition energies for neutral \overline{F} atoms are converged within 0.2 eV. In addition, the relative difference between oscillator strengths (OS) in velocity and length gauge is guaranteed within 10%, indicating the completeness of the basis. As for the molecular fragments, the resonant transitions are calculated using the restricted active space

FIG. 2. Resonant absorption spectra for FEL photon energy well below the fluorine *K* edge. (a) Average spectrum of incoming FEL pulses centered at 679.6 eV. (b) Experiment OD for various incoming FEL intensities, indicated by the color of the curves. The shaded areas indicate the corresponding standard deviation of the measurement. (c) Theoretical calculations for relevant atomic fragments. Note that the calculated oscillator strengths are broadened to match the experimental resolution of about 0.2 eV. (d) Theoretical spectra for fragmenting (dissociating bond length at 5 Å) charged molecules CF_4^+ and CF_4^{2+} . The leftmost peaks for these two molecular ions correspond to neutral fluorine fragments which allows one to shift the whole molecular spectra to fit peak A. The peaks within 677–679 eV, assigned as CF_3^+ and $CF₃²⁺$, are located in the area of the broad peak B. Each molecular spectrum is calculated by averaging the OS for eight different nuclear geometries displaced from the ground-state equilibrium geometry by a combination of C-F dissociation and planarization of CF_3^+ and CF_3^{2+} (see Appendix [C\)](#page-10-0) to describe the vibrational broadening of the peaks, in addition to the 0.2 eV experimental resolution.

self-consistent-field (RASSCF) [\[61,62\]](#page-13-0) and restricted active space perturbation theory (RASPT2) methods [\[63,64\]](#page-13-0) in the OPENMOLCAS suite [\[65\]](#page-13-0). These methods are reported to provide sub-eV agreement with soft x-ray spectroscopy [\[66\]](#page-13-0). The calculation details can be found in Appendixes [B](#page-8-0) and [C.](#page-10-0)

Two atomic species, F and F^+ , are found relevant in the spectral region of interest. Their corresponding OS are indicated as green and blue curves, respectively, in Fig. 2(c). To take into account the experimental resolution, the theoretical spectra were broadened (by convolution) to 0.2 eV. The calculated resonances of F $(1s^2 2s^2 2p^5 \rightarrow 1s^1 2s^2 2p^6)$ and $F^+(1s^22s^22p^4 \rightarrow 1s^12s^22p^5)$ are in good agreement with the experimental peaks A, C, and D. Note that the two electronic states $1s^2 2s^2 2p^4$ ³*P* and ¹*D* for the F⁺ initial state are individually resolved. Assigning the molecular fragments with their complex and closely spaced electronic and vibrational states is more challenging, in addition to the quantum molecular calculation itself. Therefore, we focus on the F-1*s* resonant transition of dissociating charged molecules after absorbing the first photon, as depicted by the inset cartoon in subfigure (d). Both singly charged CF_4^+ or doubly charged CF_4^{2+} (with the dissociating C-F bond distance set at 5 Å) are calculated and shown as yellow and dark blue curves in (d). The molecular spectra are broadened by vibrational coupling to nuclear motion in addition to the experimental resolution of 0.2 eV, described by averaging the OS of eight different nuclear geometries displaced by a combination of C-F dissociation and planarization of CF_3^+ and CF_3^{2+} [\[28](#page-12-0)[,67,68\]](#page-13-0) (see Appendix C). The wave-function analysis shows the first peak corresponds to a neutral fluorine atom in both cases; this

FIG. 3. Resonant absorption spectra across the fluorine *K* edge. (a) Average spectrum of incoming FEL pulses with central photon energy at 694.4 eV. (b) Experiment OD for various incoming FEL intensities. The shaded areas indicate the corresponding standard deviation of the measurement. (c) Theoretical calculations for relevant atomic and molecular fragments. The molecular spectrum is broadened by vibrational coupling to the combination of C-F dissociation and planarization of CF_3^+ and CF_3^{2+} in addition to the 0.2 eV experiment resolution. The ionization potential (IP) for CF_4 is indicated by the vertical shaded bar.

allows us to shift the whole theoretical molecular spectra to fit the first experimental peak A, which is assigned to a neutral fluorine atom separately by the highly accurate atomic calculation. Stark shift due to the nearby charged radicals CF_3^+ and CF_3^2 are taken into account, respectively, indicated by the dashed lines slightly offset from peak A (−0.09 eV for CF_3^+ and -0.08 eV for CF_3^{2+} at a distance of 5 Å to the dissociating F atom used in our calculations). As a result, the transition OS from both radicals CF_3^+ and CF_3^{2+} falls into the range of the broad peak B. The agreement of the theoretical prediction with the experimental spectra thus confirms the above hypothesis of assigning the narrower peaks A, C, and D predominantly to neutral F and respectively F^+ in different initial states as indicated in Fig. $2(c)$, as well as the wider peak B to be influenced by absorption of molecular fragments.

To study the nonlinear dynamics initiated by F-1*s* holes, the central photon energy was shifted to 694.4 eV. The spectra for different FEL intensities are shown as colored curves in Fig. 3(b). In this region, the general shape of a large and broad peak on top of the F-1*s* edge qualitatively matches with the linear synchrotron studies [\[47,50\]](#page-12-0). Still, additional detailed resonant structure is visible, even for the lowest FEL intensity used (10%), where we label five obvious resonant peaks from E to I for later discussion. Atomic structure calculations are used to assign peaks E and F to doubly charged atoms F^{2+} in its ground states [orange curve in Fig. $3(c)$], produced within the pulse upon nonlinear interaction, which is also supported by the fact that they are absent in linear synchrotron measurements $[47]$. The resonant transition peak of CF_4 lies at the center of the big hump across the edge. Three peaks G, H, and I can be identified in all curves; they correspond to the three antibonding transition final states, which are also observed in the linear synchrotron absorption spectrum [\[47\]](#page-12-0). The theoretical spectrum was shifted by -1.0 eV to align it with the reference experimental synchrotron spectra [\[47\]](#page-12-0). The theoretical spectrum was calculated by averaging the OS of eight different nuclear geometries displaced by the umbrella motion which is the mostly IR-active motion at the equilibrium geometry of CF_4 (see Appendix [C\)](#page-10-0) to describe the vibrational broadening of the peaks, in addition to the experimental resolution. The overall broadened spectra manifest features that agree well with the three peaks fitted in the synchrotron spectra [\[47\]](#page-12-0). Moreover, we observe additional sharp peaks emerge at higher intensities, hypothetically due to molecular two-sited double core-hole states or higher charged atomic ions, as well as due to nonthermal motion competing with the molecular geometric distortion of the excited neutral molecule after the absorption of the first x-ray photon. Given the high number of possible states, further experimental and theoretical investigation is needed to further clarify their origin, which goes far beyond the scope of this work.

A pronounced isosbestic point $[69]$ at $E_i = 689.8$ eV is observed and marked as a red dot in Fig. $3(a)$. To be specific, the OD for energy $E < E_i$ increases with the increasing FEL pulse intensity, while the OD for energy $E >$ *Ei* decreases with increasing FEL intensity. Due to strong photoabsorption processes in the energy region of interest, the density of available neutral CF_4 molecules within the FEL

FIG. 4. Molecular fragmentation dynamics for both CF₄⁺ (created by valence ionization) and CF₄²⁺ (created by photonionizing the C-1*s* hole and following Auger-Meitner decay) before the arrival of a second photon. (a), (b), (c) Bond distances for CF_4^+ and CF_4^{2+} , respectively. The molecular fragmentation of CF_4^{2+} starting from $1t_1^{-2}$ and $4t_2^{-1}1t_1^{-1}$ are highlighted in (b) and (c), respectively. Panels (d), (e), and (f) correspond to charge on each atomic site (indicated by the same color coding used in the upper subfigures). The red curves represent the charge of the central carbon atom. Note that the charge on the distant F atom (F4) vanishes within a few tens of femtosecond time scale for all cases, indicating the atomic fragments are neutral.

pulse duration drops with increasing FEL intensity, leading to the lowering of its absorption signal above the isosbestic point. Correspondingly, the absorption signal in the region of peaks E and F increases due to the increasing density of the F^{2+} fragments.

B. Single-photon-induced molecular dynamics

In the previous section, we have assigned atomic fragments (neutral and charged) to several peaks. However, it is necessary to quantitatively study the dissociation dynamics of the products after absorbing the first x-ray photon within the leading edge of the pulse, as a foundation for the later study of multiphoton absorption dynamics in Sec. [II C.](#page-6-0) Photons with energy around 680 eV can either ionize electrons out of the valence shell of the CF_4 molecule or from the localized carbon 1*s* core orbital, both with comparable cross sections. While the former channel produces mainly CF_4^+ ions, the latter channel can quickly render predominantly doubly charged CF_4^{2+} ions after Auger-Meitner decay within 8.5 fs [\[57\]](#page-13-0). The double Auger decay (creating triply charged ion CF_4^{3+}) is neglected due to its reported low possibility of around 10% [\[70\]](#page-13-0). To verify the possibility of subsequent ultrafast fragmentation within the short pulse duration, we carried out a semiclassical molecular dynamics (MD) simulation using the DYNAMIX module in OPENMOLCAS, which is based on state-averaged CASSCF energy surfaces. To study the dissociation dynamics for different ionization products with various hole distribution possibilities, we carry out six separate sets of MD simulations where molecules are initially put on different energy surfaces. Each energy surface represents an electronic state with one hole in the six highest-occupied valence orbitals for CF_4^+ and two holes in the four highest-occupied valence orbitals for CF_4^2 . Surface hopping is used to account for possible nonadiabatic transitions. As a result, the molecules with highly valence-excited states may end up in lower electronic states when passing the conical intersections and give off excessive energy to the nuclear kinetic energies, thus enabling or accelerating the dissociation process (for a demonstration example, see Fig. [9](#page-10-0) in Appendix [D\)](#page-10-0). Each set consists of an ensemble of five parallel simulations with various initial nuclear velocities to take into account a finite temperature of 300 K. Further simulation details can be found in Appendix [D.](#page-10-0)

The MD simulation results of CF_4^+ and CF_4^{2+} are shown in Fig. 4. The simulation for CF_4 ⁺ from all six initial states and with various initial velocities show similar fragmentation pattern, as depicted by the transparent curves in the first column. The fragmentation dynamics from the ground state $1t_1^{-1}$ is highlighted as a representative case. Subfigure (a) shows the bond distances of four fluorine atoms (denoted as F1, F2, F3, and F4) to the carbon center. One of the fluorine atoms (F4) quickly flies away from the carbon center, leaving the remaining fluorine atoms vibrating around their initial bond distances. In subfigure (d) we observe this dissociating F4 atom to carry away around 0.3 charge initially; however, due to its strong electronegativity, its charge quickly drops to zero after around 20 fs. We take this time scale of an ejected neutral fluorine atom as approximated dissociation time τ_D of CF₄⁺ for later discussion in Sec. IIC. As for the CF_4^{2+} ion, the dissociation dynamics can be classified into two typical trends and highlighted in the second and third columns of Fig. 4. In subfigures (b) and (e), the molecular dynamics starting from the ground state $1t_1^{-2}$ is highlighted to represent a typical case, where one fluorine atom flies away, with its charge eventually turning neutral within a time scale of about 60 fs. For the molecular dynamics starting from the excited state $4t_2^{-1}1t_1^{-1}$, as highlighted in subfigures (c) and (f), we observe two fluorine atoms to be ejected, where both of them turn neutral

within about 40 fs. This study therefore confirms that, after the interaction with the first x-ray photon at the leading edge of the pulse, the charged molecule can indeed fragment within a few tens of femtosecond and thus on the time scale or shorter than the pulse duration. This further supports the above identification of neutral fluorine atoms spectroscopically observed within the same x-ray pulse.

C. Multiphoton dynamics

Having studied the dissociation dynamics after absorbing the first photon, we now turn to the sequential multiphoton absorption processes within the FEL pulse to discuss their nonlinear intensity dependence, regarding atomic peaks A, C, and D well below the fluorine *K* edge. A simplified rate equation model is employed to study the sequential absorption of further x-ray photons following the molecular dissociation. For simplicity, the appearance of neutral fluorine in various fragmentation patterns studied in Sec. \overline{I} IB is phenomenologically described by an effective channel. In this channel, the CF4 is photoionized (regardless of whether it is valence or C-1*s* ionization) into CF_4^+ , which dissociates and effectively produces a neutral F atom in the time scale of τ_D of 20 fs, as determined from the MD simulation in Sec. [II B.](#page-5-0) This approximation is validated for the current focus of study, i.e., the atomic species shown as peaks A, C, and D in Fig. $2(b)$, with a trade-off for ignoring the details of partner molecular fragments assigned in the area of peak B. The possible direct creation of singly charged fluorine F^+ from much higher electronic states of CF_4 ⁺ [\[71\]](#page-13-0) is ignored. In addition, the "dissociation-before-Auger" channel is also ignored due to the challenge of detailing the molecular fragmentation with core hole. Within these approximations, the charged fluorine atoms are then only produced by sequentially ionizing these neutral F atoms to higher charge states. The considered atomic x-ray light-matter interaction processes are photoionization, resonant excitation, and subsequent decay by fluorescence or Auger-Meitner decay. The corresponding reaction rates Γ_{ii} for the transition from electronic configuration *i* to *j* are obtained by using the configuration-interaction method via flexible atomic code (FAC) [\[72\]](#page-13-0). For the upward transitions $(i < j)$, $\Gamma_{ij} = \sigma_{ij}^{PI} J(t) + \sigma_{ij}^{RE} J(t) + A_{ij}$, where $J(t)$ is the FEL photon flux, and σ_{ij}^{PI} , σ_{ij}^{RE} , and A_{ij} denote the cross sections of photoionization, resonant photoexcitation, and the rate of Auger-Meitner decay, respectively. Rate matrix elements for the downward transitions $(i > j)$ are simply the rate of fluorescence decay $\Gamma_{ij} = R_{ij}$. The set of rate equations for the populations N_X reads

$$
\frac{dN_{\text{CF}_4}}{dt} = -[\sigma_{\text{CF}_4}J(t)]N_{\text{CF}_4},\tag{2a}
$$

$$
\frac{dN_{\text{CF}_4^+}}{dt} = [\sigma_{\text{CF}_4}J(t)]N_{\text{CF}_4} - \frac{1}{\tau_D}N_{\text{CF}_4^+},\tag{2b}
$$

$$
\frac{dN_0}{dt} = \sum_{j\neq 0} N_j \Gamma_{j0} - N_0 \sum_{j\neq 0} \Gamma_{0j} + \frac{1}{\tau_D} N_{\text{CF}_4^+}, \quad (2c)
$$

...,

$$
\frac{dN_i}{dt} = \sum_{j \neq i} N_j \Gamma_{ji} - N_i \sum_{j \neq i} \Gamma_{ij},
$$
\n(2d)

FIG. 5. Evolution of fragment population for (a) 1% FEL intensity, (b) 20% FEL intensity, and (c) 100% FEL intensity. The FEL pulse profile used in the model is shown as a filled shaded curve.

where the index X denotes both the neutral CF_4 and singly ionized CF_4 ⁺ molecular species, as well as the fluorine atom in configuration i ($0 \le i \le 60$ indexes all configurations ranging from neutral F to F^{8+}). Equation (2a) describes the molecular ionization and Eq. (2b) describes the evolution of CF_4 ⁺ due to the competition of ionization of CF_4 and following dissociation of CF_4^+ . The emergence of the neutral F atom due to the dissociation of CF_4 ⁺ corresponds to the source term of $\frac{1}{\tau_D} N_{\text{CF}_4+}$ in Eq. (2c). This model is applied to the case with photon energy well below the fluorine *K* edge (Fig. [2\)](#page-3-0) to interpret the emergence of atomic fragments, including the neutral species. The FEL photon flux $J(t)$ is modeled with a Gaussian temporal pulse profile with a pulse duration of 25 fs (FWHM) and a center photon energy of 676 eV. The molecular photoionization cross section $\sigma_{CF_4} = 0.24$ Mbarn is approximated by the summation of the cross sections of four fluorine atoms and a carbon atom taken from the VUO database [\[56\]](#page-13-0). The resulting population dynamics for the CF_4 and CF4 ⁺ as well the atomic species at different FEL photon flux $J(t)$ are shown in Fig. 5. The populations of the atomic species shown here are charge state resolved, i.e., the population of the configurations with the same charge are summed up as $P_Q = \sum_{i=0}^{60} N_i \delta_{Q_i,Q} (Q_i)$ is the charge of the configuration *i*). In the low FEL intensity case in Fig. $5(a)$, the neutral fluorine population (blue curve) exponentially rises within the pulse as a result of single-photon induced fragmentation and subsequent dissociation. This is in agreement with the decrease of the initial CF_4 molecule (black dotted curve) as well as the transient rise and decay of the intermediate CF_4 ⁺ molecular cation (red dashed curve). However, as the FEL intensity further increases in subfigures (b) and (c), saturation sets in, where the neutral fluorine population starts to form a peak and eventually decreases in favor of higher charge states. For high intensities, the neutral fluorine is nearly entirely bleached at the end of the pulse in (c), but the transient appearance of this channel during the FEL pulse can still be recorded in the measured absorption spectra, identified as peak A in the magenta curve in Fig. $2(b)$. This demonstrates a key advantage of the high-intensity x-ray transmission spectroscopy scheme being sensitive to intrapulse intermediate states.

FIG. 6. Pulse integrated populations in comparison with the fitted peak areas. (a), (d) The pulse integrated populations of neutral and singly charged fluorine atoms as functions of FEL intensity. (b), (c) (e), (f) The fitted area of the peaks A, B, C, and D in Fig. [2.](#page-3-0)

A direct comparison of the rate-equation model with the experiment can be done by temporally integrating the resulting population dynamics with the normalized FEL pulse profile. The result is shown in Fig. 6, where the pulseintegrated populations of the neutral and singly ionized atomic products (F and F^+) are shown in comparison with the fitted peak areas of the experimental spectra from Fig. [2.](#page-3-0) The population of neutral fluorine reaches a local maximum at around 10% FEL intensity, which is in agreement with the formation of a local maximum of peak A at relatively low FEL intensity shown in (b).

The simulated population of singly charged fluorine in subfigure (d) reaches its local maximum only at higher FEL intensity, where a similar trend is observed in peak C in subfigure (e), which quantifies the appearance of F^+ in the $3P$ initial state. It is interesting to note that the appearance of F^+ in the ${}^{1}D$ initial state shows a different trend of reaching a local minimum at intermediate intensity, however, at a much lower absolute scale of the peak area. This trend is currently unexplained in the simple rate-equation model; however, we also cannot rule out the contribution of other unidentified fragments in the spectral region of peak D at high FEL intensity, especially since we notice the absorption peak to significantly broaden in Fig. [2\(b\).](#page-3-0) Nevertheless, the different trends of peaks C and D highlight the possibility to discern transient species with sensitivity to their electronic state. Given the simplicity of the rate-equation model, the overall agreement with the experiment is remarkable even without taking focal volume averaging into account.

III. CONCLUSION

In summary, we used intense ultrashort x-ray FEL pulses in a high-intensity transmission spectroscopy to study multiphoton induced molecular dynamics in CF_4 molecules. The

combination of a gas cell and grating spectrometer to analyze the FEL spectrum after transmission through the gas-phase molecular sample allows us to directly observe the transient intermediate fragments, including the neutral ones, within the pulse duration of a few tens of femtoseconds. The energy resolution of around 0.2 eV allows for the identification of different electronic states. Measuring absorption spectra at different FEL pulse intensities allows to change the abundance of different fragment species, resolved in charge and electronic states.

Dynamics with and without initially producing fluorine *K*-shell holes at the leading edge of the pulse have been investigated with the FEL central photon energy tuned to 694.4 and 679.6 eV, respectively. In the spectra well below the F-*K* edge, neutral fluorine atoms are spectroscopically observed within the nominal 25 fs (FWHM) pulse duration. Along with transiently appearing neutral atoms, singly charged fluorine atoms as well as CF_3^+ and CF_3^{2+} molecular fragments are spectroscopically identified with the help of meticulous atomic and molecular electronic structure calculations. In the measurement across the F-*K* edge, absorption spectra distinct from synchrotron measurements are observed at different FEL intensities. Five major peaks in the spectra are identified, including F^{2+} atoms, along with an isosbestic point at 689.8 eV that signifies the correlated transition between intact neutral CF₄ molecules and charged atomic fragments.

A semiclassical molecular dynamics simulation of the initial fragmentation dynamics of the charged molecules after the absorption of the first photon at the leading edge of the pulse has been carried out to understand the ultrafast dissociation into neutral fluorine atoms. Based on this, a system of rate equations is used to model the transient intermediate population dynamics. The pulse-integrated population of fluorine atoms and ions at different FEL intensities has been obtained

and agrees with the spectroscopically identified peak areas in the experiment.

In the future, such experiments can provide new benchmark data for the ongoing development of more sophisticated time-resolved molecular spectroscopy calculations for the interaction of matter with intense ultrashort x-ray pulses. On the experimental side, these initial results motivate one to further develop new schemes for time-resolved two-color x-ray pump x-ray probe transient absorption spectroscopy with a two-pulse setup at few and sub-femtosecond time resolution [\[28,29](#page-12-0)[,73\]](#page-13-0). These developments will eventually help disentangle the competing pathways of electronic and structural dynamics in ultrafast nonlinear x-ray light-matter interactions.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We acknowledge European XFEL in Schenefeld, Germany for provision of the x-ray free-electron laser-beam time at the SQS instrument and would like to thank the staff for their assistance. We acknowledge C. Kaiser and A. von der Dellen and the technical workshop of MPIK for their support. We thank N. Rohringer for the provision of the x-ray CCD detector. R.J. would like to thank A. Kuleff for insightful discussions. A.F., Y.N., K.L., G.D., and L.Y. were supported by the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Science, Basic Energy Sciences, Chemical Sciences, Geosciences, and Biosciences Division under Award No. DE-AC02-06CH11357.

DATA AVAILABILITY

Data recorded for the experiment at the European XFEL are available at [\[74\]](#page-13-0).

APPENDIX A: EXPERIMENT DETAILS

The reference spectra (gas cell pumped out) are obtained consecutively with the transmitted spectra (gas in the cell). Therefore, the experiment relies on the relative stability of the FEL source across these two measurements. The total intensity $I_T = \int_0^\infty \mathcal{I}(E)dE$, bandwidth (FWHM), and central photon energy $E_c = \frac{\int_0^\infty E \cdot \mathcal{I}(E) dE}{\int_0^\infty \mathcal{I}(E) dE}$ of the reference beams for different experiments are shown in Fig. 7 and Fig. 8. The run indices shown in Fig. 7 correspond to the transmission spectra in Fig. [2\(b\)](#page-3-0) for FEL intensity attenuated to 1%, 20%, 50%, and 100% of peak intensity, respectively. The reference intensity for the 50% and 100% cases are reduced to 20% and 40%, respectively, to protect the CCD sensor. Additionally, to achieve a higher signal-to-noise ratio for the 1% case, the aluminum filter is removed for both the reference and transmitted spectra. Similarly the run indices in Fig. 8 correspond to the transmission spectra in Fig. $3(b)$. As shown, the central photon energy varies within 0.5%, which is negligible compared to the broad bandwidth. The standard deviation of the total intensity is less than 5%. Therefore, the parameter drift of the XFEL pulses is sufficiently small to ensure reliable transmission spectroscopy studies.

The statistical error bars shown in Figs. $2(b)$ and $3(b)$ are calculated as follows. The 6000 transmitted spectra are evenly divided into 10 groups. For each group, a set of optical densities (ODs) is calculated using the average intensity of

FIG. 7. Variation of XFEL parameters for the reference pulses with photon energy well below the fluorine *K* edge used in the experiments shown in Fig. [2.](#page-3-0) (a) The center photon energy, (b) bandwidth, and (c) photon counts. Error bars denote the standard deviation over the statistical average over the approximately 1200 spectra.

transmitted spectra in the group, with the reference spectrum taken as the average of the corresponding 1200 reference shots. The error bars are then determined by calculating the statistical standard deviation of the 10 sets of ODs.

APPENDIX B: CALCULATION OF ATOMIC STRUCTURES

For a complete survey of the possible atomic fragments that appeared in the experimental spectra, multiple types of atomic core-excitation transition patterns need to be considered, depending on the initial and final states of the transitions. Take the neutral F, for example; the initial states of the transition can also be in low-lying valence excited configuration, e.g.,

FIG. 8. Variation of XFEL parameters for the reference pulses with photon energy across the fluorine *K* edge used in the experiments shown in Fig. [3.](#page-4-0) (a) The center photon energy, (b) bandwidth, and (c) photon counts. Error bars denote the standard deviation over the statistical average over the approximately 1200 spectra.

	Term	3sp	n4	n5	<i>n</i> 6	n ₇	NIST
Ground state	$2p^5$ ${}^2P^o_{3/2}$	-2707.10	-2712.82	-2714.42	-2714.80	-2715.02	
Energy	$2p^5~^2P^o_{1/2}$	0.0483	0.0495	0.0502	0.0495	0.0498	0.0501
relative to ground	$2p^43s \frac{4p}{5/2}$	13.14	12.75	12.94	12.75	12.73	12.70
state $2p^5 \frac{2p_0}{3/2}$	$2p^43s \frac{4p_{3/2}}{2}$	13.17	12.79	12.98	12.79	12.76	12.73
	$2p^43s^4P_{1/2}$	13.19	12.81	13.00	12.81	12.78	12.75
	$2p^43s^2P_{3/2}$	13.51	13.11	13.28	13.11	13.06	12.98
	$2p^43s^2P_{1/2}$	13.55	13.15	13.32	13.15	13.10	13.03
	$2p^43p^4P_{5/2}^o$	14.82	14.43	14.52	14.43	14.40	14.37
	$2p^43p^4P_{3/2}^o$	14.83	14.44	14.53	14.44	14.41	14.39
	$2p^43p^4P_{1/2}^o$	14.84	14.45	14.54	14.45	14.42	14.40
	$2p^43p^4D_{5/2}^o$	15.03	14.62	14.71	14.62	14.58	14.53
	$2p^43s^2D_{5/2,3/2}$	15.85	15.47	15.62	15.47	15.42	15.36

TABLE I. Energies (in eV) of the initial states (including excited configuration) of core-excitation transition for neutral F, calculated with different levels of electronic correlation. NIST [\[76\]](#page-13-0) atomic levels are listed for comparison.

 $1s^2 2s^2 2p^4 3s \rightarrow 1s^1 2s^2 2p^5 3s$, where there is one standby electron in the 3*s* orbital. In addition, the 1*s* electron can be excited to either a 2*p* orbital or Rydberg orbitals (i.e., 3*p*, 4*p*, etc.), i.e., $1s^{2}2s^{2}2p^{5} \rightarrow 1s^{1}2s^{2}2p^{6}$ or $1s^{1}2s^{2}2p^{5}3p$. Therefore, multiple electronic states must be taken into account for both initial and final states. In this work, the calculation of resonant coreexcitation transitions for fluorine atoms (ions) is based on a combined multiconfiguration self-consistent field (MCSCF) and relativistic configuration-interaction (RCI) scheme [\[59\]](#page-13-0) employing the GRASP code $[60]$. The general working flow is as follows: the atomic basis sets for the initial and final states of the transitions are optimized separately using the MCSCF method. Then individual RCI calculations of initial and final states are carried out based on the corresponding atomic basis, respectively. Since the atomic basis for initial and final states are optimized individually, they are nonorthogonal to each other; therefore, we need to transform the two basis sets (and CI coefficients accordingly) to make them biorthonormal [\[75\]](#page-13-0) using the rbiotransform module of the GRASP code. Then the transition oscillator strengths between the initial and final states are calculated using the rtransition module of the GRASP code [\[60,75\]](#page-13-0).

The atomic orbital basis is optimized layer by layer, meaning that we start from optimizing a minimum basis set using MCSCF; then we extend the basis set in a new MCSCF calculation, but keep the previously optimized orbitals fixed. We repeat this procedure until the convergence is achieved. Taking the neutral F atoms as an illustration example, the orbitals are optimized in seven layers: {1*s*, 2*s*, 2*p*}, {3*s*}, {3*p*},

TABLE II. Transition energies (in eV) for the neutral F $1s \rightarrow 2p$, calculated with different levels of correlations. The experimental fit values are listed for comparison.

Initial states	3s p	n4	<i>n</i> 5	n6	<i>n</i> 7	Expt.
${}^{2}P^{o}_{1/2}$ ${}^{2}P^{o}_{3/2}$		677.58 675.90 676.38 676.40 676.51 676.60 677.63 675.95 676.43 676.45 676.56				

{3*d*, 4*l*}, {5*l*}, {6*l*}, {7*l*} (*l* ≤ min {*n* − 1, 4}). The first layer of $\{1s, 2s, 2p\}$ orbitals is obtained by optimizing the ${}^{2}P^{o}_{3/2, 1/2}$ states (using configuration $1s^2 2s^2 2p^5$). For the second layer, the $\{3s\}$ orbital is obtained by optimizing the $\frac{4p_{5/2,3/2,1/2}}{2p_{5/2,3/2,1/2}}$, $\frac{2p_{6/2,3/2,1/2}}{2p_{6/2,2}}$ $P_{3/2,1/2}$, and ${}^{2}D_{5/2}$ states (using configuration $1s^{2}2s^{2}2p^{4}3s$) with the first layer fixed. For the third layer, the {3*p*} orbital is obtained by optimizing the ${}^4P^o_{5/2,3/2,1/2}$, ${}^4D^o_{5/2}$ states (using configuration $1s^2 2s^2 2p^4 3p$, with the first and second layers fixed. Note that these states will be used to calculate transition rates; therefore, the radial wave functions of 1*s* to 3*p* are treated as spectral orbitals (nodal structure guaranteed during orbital optimization). The fourth layer {3*d*, 4*l*} is obtained by adding 3*d* and 4*l* orbitals in addition to all the above optimized orbitals {1*s*, 2*s*, 2*p*}, {3*s*}, {3*p*}, and optimizing all the above 13 energy states together, based on the configuration state functions (CSF) generated by allowing single, double, and some important triple electron permutations from occupied orbitals in reference CSFs (i.e., 1*s*, 2*s*, 2*p*, 3*s*, 3*p*) to the ${3d, 4l}$ $(l < 4)$. We repeat this procedure for the ${5l}$, ${6l}$, ${7l}$ ($l \leq 4$) successively to further include the dynamical correlations. From {3*d*} onwards, the orbitals are treated as so-called pseudo orbitals instead, i.e., their nodal structure is not guaranteed during optimization. The orbitals for the final states of the core-excitation transition can be obtained in the same manner, except for the additional requirement that at least one *K* hole should be present in all CSFs.

Then all the energy levels of interest can be obtained with the optimized orbital basis set. The energy levels of the 13 relevant initial states calculated in different correlation models are listed in Table I. Correlation model 3*sp* corresponds to the basis with the first three layers, *n*4 corresponds to the first four layers of the basis, and so on. The best calculation *n*7 shows less than 1% discrepancy in comparison with the NIST database [\[76\]](#page-13-0). The energy of the $1s \rightarrow 2p$ transitions calculated from different correlation models are listed in Table II, which is converging to the experimental fit value within 0.2 eV. The relative difference between oscillator strength (OS) in velocity (V-) and length (L-) gauge representation is guaranteed within 10%, indicating the completeness of the computation basis.

FIG. 9. Molecular dissociation dynamics starting from the fourth valence-excited state of CF_4^+ . (a) The potential energies of the relevant six states obtained from RASSCF during the propagation. Surface hopping, indicated by the gray circle, from state $|4\rangle$ (red solid curve) to state $|3\rangle$ (green solid curve) enables the dissociation process. (b) Total kinetic energy of all nuclei.

APPENDIX C: CALCULATION OF MOLECULAR STRUCTURES

The electronic structure of three relevant molecular species CF_4 , CF_4^+ , and CF_4^{2+} are calculated using the restricted active space self-consistent-field (RASSCF) [\[61,62\]](#page-13-0) and restricted active space perturbation theory (RASPT2) methods [\[63,64\]](#page-13-0) and are followed by the calculation of transition dipole moments between the ground-valence state and core-excited state by RASSI module in the OPENMOLCAS suite [\[65\]](#page-13-0). The vibrational broadening of the neutral CF_4 molecule (Fig. [3\)](#page-4-0) was taken into account by displacing the equilibrium geometry along the mostly IR active umbrella motion. For dissociating molecules CF_4^+ and CF_4^{2+} , induced by photoionization, we optimized the planar radicals CF_3^+ and CF_3^{2+} , respectively, where the dissociated neutral F is displaced at 5 Å from the central carbon. Then, the geometrical difference between the dissociated molecule and the equilibrium geometry at the ground state is linearly discretized by eight numerical grids. The electronic structure calculations at different charge states $(CF_4, CF_4^+$, and CF_4^{2+}) were carried out at eight different geometries and their absorption spectra were averaged to obtain Figs. $2(d)$ and $3(c)$. The basis function is ANO-RCC-VTZP [\[77\]](#page-13-0). The active space is the same for the three molecular species, which is designed to implement the projection technique for the calculation of the highly excited states [\[78\]](#page-13-0). The four F-1*s* orbitals are put in the RAS1 space, allowing for one hole at most. The RAS2 space consists of 11 orbitals, i.e., for CF_4 and CF_4^+ , there are five highest occupied orbitals

[1] O. Geßner, A. M. D. Lee, J. P. Shaffer, H. Reisler, S. V. Levchenko, A. I. Krylov, J. G. Underwood, H. Shi, A. L. L. East, D. M. Wardlaw, E. t. H. Chrysostom, C. C. Hayden, and A. Stolow, Femtosecond multidimen[sional imaging of a molecular dissociation,](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1120779) Science **311**, 219 (2006).

and six lowest unoccupied orbitals, while for CF_4^2 , there are four highest occupied orbitals and seven lowest unoccupied orbitals. Upper states (with a single core hole) of the transitions are optimized separately with the same active space, but restricted to configurations with one hole in RAS1. Following the RASSCF calculation, the multistate RASPT2 method is employed to include dynamical correlations [\[79\]](#page-13-0) for both the lower and upper states of the transitions. An imaginary shift of 0.2 a.u. (developer recommended value) is used for the external part of the zeroth-order Hamiltonian to eliminate

APPENDIX D: MOLECULAR DYNAMICS SIMULATIONS

intruder states [\[80\]](#page-13-0).

The fragmentation dynamics are modeled using the semiclassical surface hopping molecular dynamics module DYNAMIX in OPENMOLCAS [\[65\]](#page-13-0), based on the CASSCF energy surfaces. The active space for CF_4^+ is $CAS(11;10)$, consisting of configurations permuting 11 electrons in six highest occupied (4 t_2 and 1 t_1) and four lowest unoccupied orbitals (5 t_2 and 5 a_1). For CF₄⁺² the selection of active space is CAS(10,10), consisting of configurations permuting 10 electrons in five highest occupied $(4t_2$ and $1t_1$) and five lowest unoccupied orbitals $(1t_1, 5t_2,$ and $5a_1)$. To study the dissociation dynamics for different ionization products with various valence-hole distribution possibilities, six energy surfaces are calculated. We carry out six separate sets of MD simulations where molecules are initially put on a different energy surface. Note that the choice of these states is solely based on the feasibility of calculation; they may not correspond to the most populated final states of photoionization or Auger-Meitner ionization. Surface hopping is used to account for nonadiabatic transitions at possible conical intersections during the path of dissociation [\[81,82\]](#page-13-0). Decoherence correction with a typical value of 0.1 Hartree is used in the simulations [\[83\]](#page-13-0).

Each set of simulations starting from a given molecular electronic energy state further consists of an ensemble of five parallel calculation trajectories of single molecules. The initial velocities of each nuclear constituent are set as a random value sampled with a Maxwell-Boltzmann distribution centered at the temperature of 300 K. During the simulation, the velocities of nuclei are rescaled to guarantee the conservation of total energy. The simulations starting with different velocities and initial energy surfaces show similar dissociation dynamics for CF_4 ⁺ as highlighted in Figs. [4\(a\)](#page-5-0) and [4\(d\),](#page-5-0) while two representative dissociation patterns are found for CF_4^2 as highlighted in Figs. $4(b)$ and $4(e)$, as well as Figs. $4(c)$ and [4\(f\).](#page-5-0) The molecular dissociation dynamics starting from the fourth state is shown in Fig. 9 as an example of the effect of surface hopping. In this case, the surface hopping occurs at the early stage of the propagation (at 2.5 fs); the switch from the $|4\rangle$ (red solid curve) to the state $|3\rangle$ (green solid curve) enables the dissociation of the molecule.

^[2] L. Young, E. P. Kanter, B. Krässig, Y. Li, A. M. March, S. T. Pratt, R. Santra, S. H. Southworth, N. Rohringer, L. F. DiMauro, G. Doumy, C. A. Roedig, N. Berrah, L. Fang, M. Hoener, P. H. Bucksbaum, J. P. Cryan, S. Ghimire, J. M. Glownia, D. A. Reis *et al.*, Femtosecond electronic response of atoms to ultra-intense x-rays, [Nature \(London\)](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature09177) **466**, 56 (2010).

- [3] M. Hoener, L. Fang, O. Kornilov, O. Gessner, S. T. Pratt, M. Gühr, E. P. Kanter, C. Blaga, C. Bostedt, J. D. Bozek, P. H. Bucksbaum, C. Buth, M. Chen, R. Coffee, J. Cryan, L. DiMauro, M. Glownia, E. Hosler, E. Kukk, S. R. Leone *et al.*, Ultraintense x-ray induced ionization, dissociation, and [frustrated absorption in molecular nitrogen,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.104.253002) Phys. Rev. Lett. **104**, 253002 (2010).
- [4] G. Doumy, C. Roedig, S.-K. Son, C. I. Blaga, A. D. DiChiara, R. Santra, N. Berrah, C. Bostedt, J. D. Bozek, P. H. Bucksbaum, J. P. Cryan, L. Fang, S. Ghimire, J. M. Glownia, M. Hoener, E. P. Kanter, B. Krässig, M. Kuebel, M. Messerschmidt, G. G. Paulus *et al.*, Nonlinear atomic response to intense ultrashort x rays, Phys. Rev. Lett. **106**[, 083002 \(2011\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.106.083002)
- [5] B. Rudek, S.-K. Son, L. Foucar, S. W. Epp, B. Erk, R. Hartmann, M. Adolph, R. Andritschke, A. Aquila, N. Berrah, C. Bostedt, J. Bozek, N. Coppola, F. Filsinger, H. Gorke, T. Gorkhover, H. Graafsma, L. Gumprecht, A. Hartmann, G. Hauser *et al.*, Ultra-efficient ionization of heavy atoms by [intense x-ray free-electron laser pulses,](https://doi.org/10.1038/nphoton.2012.261) Nat. Photon. **6**, 858 (2012).
- [6] O. Travnikova, T. Marchenko, G. Goldsztejn, K. Jänkälä, N. Sisourat, S. Carniato, R. Guillemin, L. Journel, D. Céolin, R. Püttner, H. Iwayama, E. Shigemasa, M. N. Piancastelli, and M. Simon, Hard-x-ray-induced multistep ultrafast dissociation, Phys. Rev. Lett. **116**[, 213001 \(2016\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.116.213001)
- [7] A. Rudenko, L. Inhester, K. Hanasaki, X. Li, S. J. Robatjazi, B. Erk, R. Boll, K. Toyota, Y. Hao, O. Vendrell, C. Bomme, E. Savelyev, B. Rudek, L. Foucar, S. H. Southworth, C. S. Lehmann, B. Kraessig, T. Marchenko, M. Simon, K. Ueda *et al.*, Femtosecond response of polyatomic molecules to ultra-intense hard x-rays, [Nature \(London\)](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature22373) **546**, 129 (2017).
- [8] C. Lamberti and J. A. van Bokhoven, Introduction: Historical perspective on xas, *X–Ray Absorption and X–Ray Emission Spectroscopy* (John Wiley & Sons, Ltd., New York, 2016), Chaps. 1, 5, pp. 1–24, 99–124.
- [9] M. Saes, C. Bressler, R. Abela, D. Grolimund, S. L. Johnson, P. A. Heimann, and M. Chergui, Observing photochemical tran[sients by ultrafast x-ray absorption spectroscopy,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.90.047403) Phys. Rev. Lett. **90**, 047403 (2003).
- [10] L. X. Chen, Probing transient molecular structures in photochemical processes using laser-initiated time-resolved x-ray absorption spectroscopy, [Annu. Rev. Phys. Chem.](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.physchem.56.092503.141310) **56**, 221 (2005).
- [11] R. J. Sension, Visualizing ultrafast chemical dynamics with xrays, [Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2017806117) **117**, 26550 (2020).
- [12] H. M. Quiney and K. A. Nugent, Biomolecular imaging and [electronic damage using x-ray free-electron lasers,](https://doi.org/10.1038/nphys1859) Nat. Phys. **7**, 142 (2011).
- [13] K. Nass, A. Gorel, M. M. Abdullah, A. V. Martin, M. Kloos, A. Marinelli, A. Aquila, T. R. M. Barends, F.-J. Decker, R. Bruce Doak, L. Foucar, E. Hartmann, M. Hilpert, M. S. Hunter, Z. Jurek, J. E. Koglin, A. Kozlov, A. A. Lutman, G. N. Kovacs, C. M. Roome *et al.*, Structural dynamics in proteins induced by [and probed with x-ray free-electron laser pulses,](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-15610-4) Nat. Commun. **11**, 1814 (2020).
- [14] Q.-B. Lu, *New Theories and Predictions on the Ozone Hole and Climate Change* (World Scientific, Singapore, 2015).
- [15] P. Emma, R. Akre, J. Arthur, R. Bionta, C. Bostedt, J. Bozek, A. Brachmann, P. Bucksbaum, R. Coffee, F. J. Decker, Y. Ding, D. Dowell, S. Edstrom, A. Fisher, J. Frisch, S. Gilevich,

J. Hastings, G. Hays, P. Hering, Z. Huang *et al.*, First lasing and [operation of an ångstrom-wavelength free-electron laser,](https://doi.org/10.1038/nphoton.2010.176) Nat. Photon. **4**, 641 (2010).

- [16] E. A. Seddon, J. A. Clarke, D. J. Dunning, C. Masciovecchio, C. J. Milne, F. Parmigiani, D. Rugg, J. C. H. Spence, N. R. Thompson, K. Ueda, S. M. Vinko, J. S. Wark, and W. Wurth, Short-wavelength free-electron laser sources and science: a review, [Rep. Prog. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1088/1361-6633/aa7cca) **80**, 115901 (2017).
- [17] W. Decking, S. Abeghyan, P. Abramian, A. Abramsky, A. Aguirre, C. Albrecht, P. Alou, M. Altarelli, P. Altmann, K. Amyan, V. Anashin, E. Apostolov, K. Appel, D. Auguste, V. Ayvazyan, S. Baark, F. Babies, N. Baboi, P. Bak, V. Balandin *et al.*, A MHz-repetition-rate hard x-ray free-electron laser [driven by a superconducting linear accelerator,](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41566-020-0607-z) Nat. Photon. **14**, 391 (2020).
- [18] B. W. J. McNeil and N. R. Thompson, X-ray free-electron lasers, [Nat. Photon.](https://doi.org/10.1038/nphoton.2010.239) **4**, 814 (2010).
- [19] K. Ueda, X-ray free-electron laser, Appl. Sci. **8**[, 879 \(2018\).](https://doi.org/10.3390/app8060879)
- [20] N. Berrah, A. Sanchez-Gonzalez, Z. Jurek, R. Obaid, H. Xiong, R. J. Squibb, T. Osipov, A. Lutman, L. Fang, T. Barillot, J. D. Bozek, J. Cryan, T. J. A. Wolf, D. Rolles, R. Coffee, K. Schnorr, S. Augustin, H. Fukuzawa, K. Motomura, N. Niebuhr *et al.*, Femtosecond-resolved observation of the fragmentation of buckminsterfullerene following x-ray multiphoton ionization, Nat. Phys. **15**[, 1279 \(2019\).](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41567-019-0665-7)
- [21] T. Mazza, M. Ilchen, M. D. Kiselev, E. V. Gryzlova, T. M. Baumann, R. Boll, A. De Fanis, P. Grychtol, J. Montaño, V. Music, Y. Ovcharenko, N. Rennhack, D. E. Rivas, P. Schmidt, R. Wagner, P. Ziolkowski, N. Berrah, B. Erk, P. Johnsson, C. Küstner-Wetekam *et al.*, Mapping resonance structures [in transient core-ionized atoms,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevX.10.041056) Phys. Rev. X **10**, 041056 (2020).
- [22] K. E. Dorfman, Y. Zhang, and S. Mukamel, Coherent control of long-range photoinduced electron transfer by stimulated xray raman processes, [Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1610729113) **113**, 10001 (2016).
- [23] S. M. Cavaletto, D. Keefer, and S. Mukamel, High temporal and spectral resolution of stimulated x-ray raman signals with [stochastic free-electron-laser pulses,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevX.11.011029) Phys. Rev. X **11**, 011029 (2021).
- [24] C. Behrens, F. J. Decker, Y. Ding, V. A. Dolgashev, J. Frisch, Z. Huang, P. Krejcik, H. Loos, A. Lutman, T. J. Maxwell, J. Turner, J. Wang, M. H. Wang, J. Welch, and J. Wu, Few-femtosecond time-resolved measurements of x-ray freeelectron lasers, [Nat. Commun.](https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms4762) **5**, 3762 (2014).
- [25] P. Finetti, H. Höppner, E. Allaria, C. Callegari, F. Capotondi, P. Cinquegrana, M. Coreno, R. Cucini, M. B. Danailov, A. Demidovich, G. De Ninno, M. Di Fraia, R. Feifel, E. Ferrari, L. Fröhlich, D. Gauthier, T. Golz, C. Grazioli, Y. Kai, G. Kurdi *et al.*[, Pulse duration of seeded free-electron lasers,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevX.7.021043) Phys. Rev. X **7**, 021043 (2017).
- [26] N. Hartmann, G. Hartmann, R. Heider, M. S. Wagner, M. Ilchen, J. Buck, A. O. Lindahl, C. Benko, J. Grünert, J. Krzywinski, J. Liu, A. A. Lutman, A. Marinelli, T. Maxwell, A. A. Miahnahri, S. P. Moeller, M. Planas, J. Robinson, A. K. Kazansky, N. M. Kabachnik *et al.*, Attosecond time–energy [structure of x-ray free-electron laser pulses,](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41566-018-0107-6) Nat. Photon. **12**, 215 (2018).
- [27] J. Duris, S. Li, T. Driver, E. G. Champenois, J. P. MacArthur, A. A. Lutman, Z. Zhang, P. Rosenberger, J. W. Aldrich,

R. Coffee, G. Coslovich, F.-J. Decker, J. M. Glownia, G. Hartmann, W. Helml, A. Kamalov, J. Knurr, J. Krzywinski, M.-F. Lin, J. P. Marangos *et al.*, Tunable isolated attosecond x-ray pulses with gigawatt peak power from a free-electron laser, [Nat. Photon.](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41566-019-0549-5) **14**, 30 (2020).

- [28] S. Li, L. Lu, S. Bhattacharyya, C. Pearce, K. Li, E. T. Nienhuis, G. Doumy, R. D. Schaller, S. Moeller, M.-F. Lin, G. Dakovski, D. J. Hoffman, D. Garratt, K. A. Larsen, J. D. Koralek, C. Y. Hampton, D. Cesar, J. Duris, Z. Zhang, N. Sudar *et al.*, Attosecond-pump attosecond-probe x-ray spectroscopy of liquid water, Science **383**[, 1118 \(2024\).](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.adn6059)
- [29] Z. Guo, T. Driver, S. Beauvarlet, D. Cesar, J. Duris, P. L. Franz, O. Alexander, D. Bohler, C. Bostedt, V. Averbukh, X. Cheng, L. F. DiMauro, G. Doumy, R. Forbes, O. Gessner, J. M. Glownia, E. Isele, A. Kamalov, K. A. Larsen, S. Li *et al.*, Experimental demonstration of attosecond pump–probe spec[troscopy with an x-ray free-electron laser,](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41566-024-01419-w) Nat. Photon. **18**, 691 (2024).
- [30] H. Wang, M. Chini, S. Chen, C.-H. Zhang, F. He, Y. Cheng, Y. Wu, U. Thumm, and Z. Chang, Attosecond time-resolved autoionization of argon, Phys. Rev. Lett. **105**[, 143002 \(2010\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.105.143002)
- [31] S. Chen, M. J. Bell, A. R. Beck, H. Mashiko, M. Wu, A. N. Pfeiffer, M. B. Gaarde, D. M. Neumark, S. R. Leone, and K. J. Schafer, Light-induced states in attosecond transient absorp[tion spectra of laser-dressed helium,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.86.063408) Phys. Rev. A **86**, 063408 (2012).
- [32] C. Ott, A. Kaldun, P. Raith, K. Meyer, M. Laux, J. Evers, C. H. Keitel, C. H. Greene, and T. Pfeifer, Lorentz meets fano in spectral line shapes: A universal phase and its laser control, Science **340**[, 716 \(2013\).](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1234407)
- [33] A. Kaldun, A. Blättermann, V. Stooß, S. Donsa, H. Wei, R. Pazourek, S. Nagele, C. Ott, C. D. Lin, J. Burgdörfer, and T. Pfeifer, Observing the ultrafast buildup of a fano resonance in the time domain, Science **354**[, 738 \(2016\).](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aah6972)
- [34] V. Stooß, S. M. Cavaletto, S. Donsa, A. Blättermann, P. Birk, C. H. Keitel, I. Březinová, J. Burgdörfer, C. Ott, and T. Pfeifer, Real-time reconstruction of the strong-field-driven dipole response, Phys. Rev. Lett. **121**[, 173005 \(2018\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.121.173005)
- [35] P. Rupprecht, L. Aufleger, S. Heinze, A. Magunia, T. Ding, M. Rebholz, S. Amberg, N. Mollov, F. Henrich, M. W. Haverkort, C. Ott, and T. Pfeifer, Laser control of electronic exchange [interaction within a molecule,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.128.153001) Phys. Rev. Lett. **128**, 153001 (2022).
- [36] P. Peng, Y. Mi, M. Lytova, M. Britton, X. Ding, A. Y. Naumov, P. B. Corkum, and D. M. Villeneuve, Coherent control of ul[trafast extreme ultraviolet transient absorption,](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41566-021-00907-7) Nat. Photon. **16**, 45 (2022).
- [37] C. Ott, L. Aufleger, T. Ding, M. Rebholz, A. Magunia, M. Hartmann, V. Stooß, D. Wachs, P. Birk, G. D. Borisova, K. Meyer, P. Rupprecht, C. da Costa Castanheira, R. Moshammer, A. R. Attar, T. Gaumnitz, Z.-H. Loh, S. Düsterer, R. Treusch, J. Ullrich *et al.*, Strong-field extreme-ultraviolet dressing of atomic double excitation, Phys. Rev. Lett. **123**[, 163201 \(2019\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.123.163201)
- [38] T. Ding, M. Rebholz, L. Aufleger, M. Hartmann, K. Meyer, V. Stooß, A. Magunia, D. Wachs, P. Birk, Y. Mi, G. D. Borisova, C. d. C. Castanheira, P. Rupprecht, Z.-H. Loh, A. R. Attar, T. Gaumnitz, S. Roling, M. Butz, H. Zacharias, S. Düsterer *et al.*, Nonlinear coherence effects in transient-absorption ion spectroscopy with stochastic extreme-ultraviolet free-electron laser pulses, Phys. Rev. Lett. **123**[, 103001 \(2019\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.123.103001)
- [39] T. Ding, M. Rebholz, L. Aufleger, M. Hartmann, V. Stooß, A. Magunia, P. Birk, G. D. Borisova, C. da Costa Castanheira, P. Rupprecht, Y. Mi, T. Gaumnitz, Z.-H. Loh, S. Roling, M. Butz, H. Zacharias, S. Düsterer, R. Treusch, C. Ott, and T. Pfeifer, XUV pump–XUV probe transient absorption spectroscopy at FELs, [Faraday Discuss.](https://doi.org/10.1039/D0FD00107D) **228**, 519 (2021).
- [40] C. Ma, M. R. Bruce, and R. A. Bonham, Absolute partial and total electron-impact-ionization cross sections for CF4 [from threshold up to 500 eV,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.44.2921) Phys. Rev. A **44**, 2921 (1991).
- [41] R. Bonham and M. Bruce, Dissociative ionisation and neutral dissociation: CF4, a case study, [Aust. J. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1071/PH920317) **45**, 317 (1992).
- [42] W. Griffiths, S. Svensson, A. Naves de Brito, N. Correia, C. Reid, M. Langford, F. Harris, C. Liegener, and H. Ågren, Dou[bly ionized states of carbon tetrafluoride,](https://doi.org/10.1016/0301-0104(93)80222-U) Chem. Phys. **173**, 109 (1993).
- [43] P. Glans, R. E. L. Villa, Y. Luo, H. Agren, and J. Nordgren, Xray emission spectroscopy measurements of fluorine substituted methanes, [J. Phys. B: At. Mol. Opt. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1088/0953-4075/27/15/016) **27**, 3399 (1994).
- [44] N. Saito, J. D. Bozek, and I. H. Suzuki, Ionic fragmentation of CF_4 in the vacuum ultraviolet through the soft x-ray region, [Chem. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1016/0301-0104(94)00242-8) **188**, 367 (1994).
- [45] S. I. Itoh, S. Tanaka, and Y. Kayanuma, Vibronic theory for the [x-ray absorption spectrum of CF4](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.60.4488) molecules, Phys. Rev. A **60**, 4488 (1999).
- [46] Y. Muramatsu, K. Ueda, Y. Shimizu, H. Chiba, K. Amano, Y. Sato, and H. Nakamatsu, Anisotropic fragmentation of CF₄ [following F 1s photoabsorption,](https://doi.org/10.1088/0953-4075/32/9/104) J. Phys. B: At. Mol. Opt. Phys. **32**, L213 (1999).
- [47] M. de Simone, M. Coreno, M. Alagia, R. Richter, and K. C. Prince, Inner shell excitation spectroscopy of the tetrahedral molecules $CX_4(X = H.F, Cl)$, [J. Phys. B: At. Mol. Opt. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1088/0953-4075/35/1/305) **35**, 61 (2002).
- [48] K. Ueda, M. Kitajima, A. De Fanis, T. Furuta, H. Shindo, H. Tanaka, K. Okada, R. Feifel, S. L. Sorensen, H. Yoshida, and Y. Senba, Anisotropic ultrafast dissociation probed by the doppler [effect in resonant photoemission from CF4,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.90.233006) Phys. Rev. Lett. **90**, 233006 (2003).
- [49] R. Guillemin, W. C. Stolte, M. N. Piancastelli, and D. W. Lindle, Jahn-teller coupling and fragmentation after core-shell excitation in CF4 investigated by partial-ion-yield spectroscopy, Phys. Rev. A **82**[, 043427 \(2010\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.82.043427)
- [50] T. Arion, O. Takahashi, R. Püttner, V. Ulrich, S. Barth, T. Lischke, A. M. Bradshaw, M. Förstel, and U. Hergenhahn, Conformational and nuclear dynamics effects in molecular auger [spectra: fluorine core-hole decay in CF4,](https://doi.org/10.1088/0953-4075/47/12/124033) J. Phys. B: At. Mol. Opt. Phys. **47**, 124033 (2014).
- [51] Y. Pertot, C. Schmidt, M. Matthews, A. Chauvet, M. Huppert, V. Svoboda, A. von Conta, A. Tehlar, D. Baykusheva, J.-P. Wolf, and H. J. Wörner, Time-resolved x-ray absorption spectroscopy [with a water window high-harmonic source,](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aah6114) Science **355**, 264 (2017).
- [52] H. Iwayama, C. Léonard, F. Le Quéré, S. Carniato, R. Guillemin, M. Simon, M. N. Piancastelli, and E. Shigemasa, Different time scales in the dissociation dynamics of coreexcited CF4 [by two internal clocks,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.119.203203) Phys. Rev. Lett. **119**, 203203 (2017).
- [53] L. Chen, E. Wang, X. Shan, Z. Shen, X. Zhao, and X. Chen, Fragmentation of $CF_4^{q+}(q = 2, 3)$ induced by 1-keV electron collisions, Phys. Rev. A **104**[, 032814 \(2021\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.104.032814)
- [54] I. Powis, The dissociation of state-selected CF3 $X +$ molecular ions, Mol. Phys. **39**[, 311 \(1980\).](https://doi.org/10.1080/00268978000100271)
- [55] M. N. Piancastelli, R. Guillemin, M. Simon, H. Iwayama, and E. Shigemasa, Ultrafast dynamics in C 1s core-excited CF4 revealed by two-dimensional resonant Auger spectroscopy, J. Chem. Phys. **138**[, 234305 \(2013\).](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4810871)
- [56] Atomic calculation of photoionization cross-sections and asym[metry parameters, available at](https://vuo.elettra.eu/services/elements/WebElements.html) https://vuo.elettra.eu/services/ elements/WebElements.html.
- [57] C. Nicolas and C. Miron, Lifetime broadening of core-excited and -ionized states, [J. Electron Spectrosc. Relat. Phenom.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.elspec.2012.05.008) **185**, 267 (2012).
- [58] C. W. McCurdy, T. N. Rescigno, C. S. Trevisan, R. R. Lucchese, B. Gaire, A. Menssen, M. S. Schöffler, A. Gatton, J. Neff, P. M. Stammer, J. Rist, S. Eckart, B. Berry, T. Severt, J. Sartor, A. Moradmand, T. Jahnke, A. L. Landers, J. B. Williams, I. Ben-Itzhak *et al.*, Unambiguous observation of F-atom core-hole localization in CF₄ through body-frame photoelectron angular distributions, Phys. Rev. A **95**[, 011401\(R\) \(2017\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.95.011401)
- [59] C. Cheng, X. L. Zhang, X. Gao, B. Qing, and J. M. Li, Theoretical study on mechanisms of anomalous fine structure in the [magnesium isoelectronic sequence,](https://doi.org/10.1088/0953-4075/43/10/105001) J. Phys. B: At. Mol. Opt. Phys. **43**, 105001 (2010).
- [60] C. Froese Fischer, G. Gaigalas, P. Jönsson, and J. Bieroń, GRASP2018—A Fortran 95 version of the General Relativistic [Atomic Structure Package,](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cpc.2018.10.032) Comput. Phys. Commun. **237**, 184 (2019).
- [61] H. Werner and W. Meyer, A quadratically convergent MCSCF method for the simultaneous optimization of several states, [J. Chem. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.440892) **74**, 5794 (1981).
- [62] P. A. Malmqvist, A. Rendell, and B. O. Roos, The restricted active space self-consistent-field method, implemented with a [split graph unitary group approach,](https://doi.org/10.1021/j100377a011) J. Phys. Chem. **94**, 5477 (1990).
- [63] J. Finley, P. A. Malmqvist, B. O. Roos, and L. Serrano-Andrés, [The multi-state CASPT2 method,](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0009-2614(98)00252-8) Chem. Phys. Lett. **288**, 299 (1998).
- [64] P. A. Malmqvist, K. Pierloot, A. R. M. Shahi, C. J. Cramer, and L. Gagliardi, The restricted active space followed by secondorder perturbation theory method: Theory and application to the [study of CuO2](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.2920188) and Cu2O2 systems, J. Chem. Phys. **128**, 204109 (2008).
- [65] F. Aquilante, J. Autschbach, A. Baiardi, S. Battaglia, V. A. Borin, L. F. Chibotaru, I. Conti, L. De Vico, M. Delcey, I. Fdez. Galván, N. Ferré, L. Freitag, M. Garavelli, X. Gong, S. Knecht, E. D. Larsson, R. Lindh, M. Lundberg, P. r. Malmqvist, A. Nenov *et al.*, Modern quantum chemistry with [Open]Molcas, J. Chem. Phys. **152**[, 214117 \(2020\).](https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0004835)
- [66] F. Montorsi, F. Segatta, A. Nenov, S. Mukamel, and M. Garavelli, Soft x-ray spectroscopy simulations with multiconfigurational wave function theory: Spectrum completeness, Sub-eV accuracy, and quantitative reproduction of line shapes, [J. Chem. Theory Comput.](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jctc.1c00566) **18**, 1003 (2022).
- [67] K. A. Mäder and S. Baroni, Vibrational broadening of x-ray [emission spectra: A first-principles study on diamond,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.55.9649) Phys. Rev. B **55**, 9649 (1997).
- [68] K. Takahashi, in *Quantum Systems in Physics, Chemistry and Biology - Theory, Interpretation, and Results*, Advances in Quantum Chemistry Vol. 78, edited by S. Jenkins, S. R. Kirk, J. Maruani, and E. J. Brändas (Academic Press, New York, 2019), Chap. 3, pp. 57–81.
- [69] S. E. Braslavsky, Glossary of terms used in photochemistry, 3rd [ed. \(IUPAC recommendations 2006\),](https://doi.org/10.1351/pac200779030293) Pure Appl. Chem. **79**, 293 (2007).
- [70] A. H. Roos, J. H. D. Eland, J. Andersson, R. J. Squibb, D. Koulentianos, O. Talaee, and R. Feifel, Abundance of molecular [triple ionization by double auger decay,](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-34807-8) Sci. Rep. **8**, 16405 (2018).
- [71] D. A. Lapiano-Smith, C. I. Ma, K. T. Wu, and D. M. Hanson, Evidence for valence hole localization in the Auger decay and [fragmentation of carbon and silicon tetrafluorides,](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.456011) J. Chem. Phys. **90**, 2162 (1989).
- [72] [M. F. Gu, The flexible atomic code,](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1824864) AIP Conf. Proc. **730**, 127 (2004).
- [73] M. Rebholz, T. Ding, V. Despré, L. Aufleger, M. Hartmann, K. Meyer, V. Stooß, A. Magunia, D. Wachs, P. Birk, Y. Mi, G. D. Borisova, C. d. C. Castanheira, P. Rupprecht, G. Schmid, K. Schnorr, C. D. Schröter, R. Moshammer, Z.-H. Loh, A. R. Attar *et al.*, All-XUV pump-probe transient absorption spectroscopy [of the structural molecular dynamics of di-iodomethane,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevX.11.031001) Phys. Rev. X **11**, 031001 (2021).
- [74] [https://doi.org/10.22003/XFEL.EU-DATA-002748-00.](https://doi.org/10.22003/XFEL.EU-DATA-002748-00)
- [75] J. Olsen, M. R. Godefroid, P. Jönsson, P. A. Malmqvist, and C. F. Fischer, Transition probability calculations for atoms using nonorthogonal orbitals, Phys. Rev. E **52**[, 4499 \(1995\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.52.4499)
- [76] A. Kramida, Yu. Ralchenko, J. Reader, and NIST ASD Team, NIST Atomic Spectra Database (ver. 5.11), available at https:// [physics.nist.gov/asd, \(National Institute of Standards and Tech](https://physics.nist.gov/asd)nology, Gaithersburg, MD, 2023).
- [77] B. O. Roos, R. Lindh, P.-A. Malmqvist, V. Veryazov, and P.-O. Widmark, Main group atoms and dimers studied with a new relativistic ANO basis set, [J. Phys. Chem. A](https://doi.org/10.1021/jp031064+) **108**, 2851 (2004).
- [78] M. G. Delcey, L. K. Sørensen, M. Vacher, R. C. Couto, and M. Lundberg, Efficient calculations of a large number of highly ex[cited states for multiconfigurational wavefunctions,](https://doi.org/10.1002/jcc.25832) J. Comput. Chem. **40**, 1789 (2019).
- [79] V. Sauri, L. Serrano-Andrés, A. R. M. Shahi, L. Gagliardi, S. Vancoillie, and K. Pierloot, Multiconfigurational second-order perturbation theory restricted active space (RASPT2) method [for electronic excited states: A benchmark study,](https://doi.org/10.1021/ct100478d) J. Chem. Theory Comput. **7**, 153 (2011).
- [80] N. Forsberg and P.-A. Malmqvist, Multiconfiguration perturba[tion theory with imaginary level shift,](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0009-2614(97)00669-6) Chem. Phys. Lett. **274**, 196 (1997).
- [81] J. C. Tully, Molecular dynamics with electronic transitions, [J. Chem. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.459170) **93**, 1061 (1990).
- [82] S. Hammes-Schiffer and J. C. Tully, Proton transfer in solution: [Molecular dynamics with quantum transitions,](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.467455) J. Chem. Phys. **101**, 4657 (1994).
- [83] G. Granucci and M. Persico, Critical appraisal of the fewest [switches algorithm for surface hopping,](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.2715585) J. Chem. Phys. **126**, 134114 (2007).